Kinetics and Mechanism of the Reduction of Chromium(VI) with Dimethyl Sulfoxidet

Zaheer Khan.*^a Kabir-ud-Din^b and Mohd. Akram^b

^aChemistry Department, Jamia Millia Islamia, Jamia Nagar, New Delhi-110025, India ^bChemistry Department, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh-202002, India

The kinetics of reduction of chromium(VI) by dimethyl sulfoxide has been studied in aqueous acid media.

The use of organosulfur compounds in organic synthesis is ever expanding.1,2 Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) is a compound of synthetic value. Various oxidants (organic peracids,³) peroxodiphosphate⁴ and potassium hydrogenpersulfate^{5,6}) have been used for the oxidation of sulfides to sulfoxides or sulfones through free radical mechanisms. We now report the kinetics of oxidation of DMSO by the versatile oxidant chromium(VI) in aqueous $HClO₄$ and $H₂SO₄$ media.

The solvent water was twice distilled. The other reagents [potassium dichromate (Merck), DMSO (Fluka), perchloric acid (Merck, 70% reagent), sodium sulfate (Merck) and sodium perchlorate (Merck)] were used without further purification. The rate of formation of Cr^{III} , d $[Cr^{III}]/dt$, at 580 nm was followed by means of a UV-VIS spectrophotometer. All the kinetic runs were performed under pseudo-first-order conditions with $[DMSO] \geq C r^{VI}$ in aqueous acidic media at constant $[HClO_4]$ or $[H_2SO_4] =$ 1.6 mol dm⁻³ and ionic strength = 0.5 mol dm⁻³ (NaClO₄) or Na₂SO₄). The first-order rate constants (k_{obs}) were obtained from linear plots of $ln(A_a-A)$ versus time (average linear correlation coefficient 0.989). A solution of $K_2Cr_2O_7$ $(0.1 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$, 5 cm³) in HClO₄ was added to a solution of 1.0 mol dm⁻³ DMSO in 2.0 mol dm⁻³ HClO₄ and 25% v/v acrylonitrile at 20° C. No polymer (white precipitate) formation was observed. Furthermore, the addition of acrylonitrile had no effect on the rate. This indicates that a free radical mechanism is unlikely.

The product (Cr^{III}) analysis was performed under kinetic conditions. After the reaction has proceeded to completion the two d-d bands ascribed to chromium(III) were observed at $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 410$ and 580 nm. In a typical experiment, $K_2Cr_2O_7$ was dissolved in H₂O (50 cm³) to give a 10×10^{-3} mol dm⁻³ solution, H_2SO_4 was then added until pH 2-3. To this was added 25 cm³ of DMSO dropwise. The mixing of DMSO with an aqueous solution of $K_2Cr_2O_7$ is exothermic and controlled addition of DMSO is advisable. The precipitate which appeared after keeping the mixture overnight at room temperature (20 $^{\circ}$ C) was filtered off, dried and then recrystallised with rectified spirit to give a white crystalline product. (mp = 107-108 °C; IR $v(S=0)$ at 1310 and 1140 cm⁻¹, characteristic of sulfones) which was identified as dimethyl sulfone.

The stoichiometry of the reaction was determined by taking a known excess of Cr^{VI} over DMSO. The reaction was allowed to go completion in aqueous $HClO₄$ by keeping it overnight and the remaining Cr^{VI} estimated iodometrically. The reaction took place according to the following stoichiometry:

$$
Cr_2O_7^{2-} + 3Me-S-Me + 8H^+ \longrightarrow 2Cr^{III} + 3Me-S-Me + 4H_2O
$$

*To receive any correspondence.

†This is a Short Paper as defined in the Instructions for Authors, Section 5.0 [see J. Chem. Research (S), 1998, Issue 1]; there is therefore no corresponding material in J . Chem. Research (M) .

The effect of [DMSO] on the reaction rate is shown in Table 1; as [DMSO] increases, k_{obs} increases but nonlinearly. The slope of a plot of log k_{obs} vs. log[DMSO] is approximately 2.0, corresponding to a second-order dependence on [DMSO]. The k_{obs} were obtained at various $[C^{VI}]_{0}$ but at constant [HClO₄], [DMSO] and temperature. The rate was found to be constant with increasing $[Cr^{VI}]_0$, indicating that the total $[Cr^{VI}]$ must be used in the rate law. The rate equation is, therefore,

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{Cr}^{\mathrm{III}}]}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{-\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{Cr}^{\mathrm{VI}}]_{\mathrm{T}}}{\mathrm{d}t} = k_{\mathrm{obs}}[\mathrm{Cr}^{\mathrm{VI}}]_{\mathrm{T}}
$$
(1)

To investigate the effect of acid concentration on the rate, the reaction was studied as a function of [HClO4] and $[H_2SO_4]$ at fixed [DMSO] (3.0 mol dm⁻³) and $[Cr^{VI}]$ $(2.8 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol dm}^{-3})$ at 20 °C. The values of k_{obs} are shown in Table 1. A plot of k_{obs} against [HX] was a curve passing through the origin. Further, when $\log k_{\text{obs}}$ was plotted vs. log[HX] a straight line with slope of ca. 2.0 was obtained indicating the order with respect to $[HClO_4]$ and $[H_2SO_4]$ to be second at lower acid concentrations (0.26 to 0.70 mol dm⁻³). The second-order kinetics shifted to higher order at higher [HClO4].

Carrington and $Symons^7$ reported the formation of $HOCrO₂OCIO₃$ and $HOCrO₂OSO₃H$ by the interaction of Cr^{VI} and HClO₄ and H₂SO₄, respectively, according to the following reaction

$$
HCrO_4^- + H^+ + HX \longrightarrow HOCr(O_2)X + H_2O
$$

where $X = ClO_4$ and HSO₄.

Before attempting to propose a mechanism, it is necessary to discuss the chromium(VI) species existing in acidic media. In an aqueous solution of $K_2Cr_2O_7$, UV-VIS studies showed that the $Cr_2O_7^{2-}$ ion is the predominant species.⁸ Spectra of mixtures containing the same $[Cr^{VI}]$ and $[HCIO_4]$ in different molar ratios exhibited different absorbances with the same λ_{max} (=450 nm); the absorbance decreased with increasing [HClO₄] indicating that $Cr_2O_7^{2-}$ ion is converted into $HOCrO₂OClO₃$.^{7,9–13}

A mechanism consistent with all experimental data is given in Scheme 1. DMSO is a resonance hybrid of the forms $>S=0 \leftrightarrow S^+$ -O⁻; in view of the back-donation resonance of the non-bonding electrons of oxygen with the empty d orbitals of sulfur, the availability of electrons on oxygen can be considered to be less compared to that on sulfur. The oxidation of DMSO involves the formation of an S=0 bond. Therefore, chromium(VI) (oxidant) would lose its oxygen. In Scheme 1 the first step represents the formation of an ester between chromic acid and DMSO which decomposes to chromium(IV) and dimethyl sulfone. The reaction $Cr^{IV} + Cr^{VI} \rightarrow 2Cr^{V}$ has an extremely favourable reduction potential.¹⁴ Therefore, the third reaction in Scheme 1 indicates the formation of Cr^V . In analogy with previous studies¹⁵ we assume that Cr^V is converted into Cr^{III} after the reaction with DMSO.

$$
Cr_2O_7^{2-} + H_2O \quad \xrightarrow{K_{a1}} \quad 2HCrO_4^{-} \ (K_{a1} = 98)
$$

$$
HcrO4- + H+ + HX
$$
\n
$$
\xrightarrow{\begin{array}{c}\nK_{a2} \\
\hline\n\end{array}} \begin{array}{c}\nO \\
HO-Cr-X + H_2O \\
O \\
O\n\end{array}
$$
\n
$$
+ O-H
$$

$$
\mathsf{Me} - \mathsf{S}-\mathsf{Me} + \mathsf{H}^* \quad \xleftarrow{\mathsf{K}_a} \quad \mathsf{CH}_3 - \mathsf{S}-\mathsf{CH}_3 \quad (\mathsf{K}_a = 3.1 \times 10^{-34})
$$

$$
\mathbf{B} + \begin{array}{ccc} & \stackrel{\mathsf{U}}{\mathsf{U}} & \mathsf{CH}_3 \\ & \mathsf{CH}_3 \end{array} \longrightarrow \begin{array}{ccc} & k_1 & \mathsf{CH}_3 \\ & & \mathsf{CH}_3 \end{array} \longrightarrow \begin{array}{ccc} & \mathsf{CH}_3 \\ & \mathsf{SO}_2 + \mathsf{Cr}^{\mathsf{IV}} \end{array} \qquad \qquad \mathsf{II}
$$

$$
Cr^{IV} + Cr^{VI} \xrightarrow{\text{fast}} 2Cr^{V} \qquad \qquad III
$$

$$
Cr^{V} + CH_{3} - \overset{\text{II}}{\rightarrow} CH_{3} \xrightarrow{\text{fast}} \overset{\text{CH}_{3}}{\leftarrow} SO_{2} + Cr^{\text{III}}
$$
\n
\n**Scheme 1**

The rate law derived from Scheme 1 is

$$
Rate = d[Cr^{III}]/dt
$$

$$
= K_{a1} K_{a2} K_{es} k_1 [HX]^2 [Cr_2O_7^{2-}][DMSO]^2 \qquad (2)
$$

Comparing (1) and (2) we get

$$
k_{\rm obs} = K_{\rm al} K_{\rm a2} K_{\rm es} k_1 [{\rm HX}]^2 [{\rm DMSO}]^2
$$
 (3)

Eqn. (2) is consistent with the results, since the kinetic orders in oxidant and reductant concentrations are first and second, respectively. According to eqn. (3), plots of k_{obs} vs. $[H_2SO_4]^2$ or $[HClO_4]^2$ at constant [DMSO] should be linear with intercept = 0 and slope = $K_{a1}K_{a2}K_{es}k_1[DMSO]^2$. This has been found to be the case, thus supporting the proposed mechanism. From slope, the values of $k_1K_{\rm es}$ have been calculated as 9.5×10^{-7} and 7.0×10^{-7} s⁻¹ for H₂SO₄ and HClO₄, respectively, at constant [DMSO] $(3.0 \text{ mol dm}^{-3})$. Substituting the values of k_1K_{es} and K_a in eqn. (3), the rate constants (k_{calc}) have been calculated for various kinetic runs and compared with the experimentally determined values (Table 1). The close agreement between the observed and calculated values provides supporting evidence for the proposed mechanism.

At higher $[HClO₄]$ the formation of another complex cannot be ruled out. The kinetic equation derived including the additional steps will have the same dependence on [DMSO] and explains the higher-order behaviour with respect to HClO4 at higher concentration.

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\n0 & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0\n\end{array}
$$

Table 1 indicates that the rate of reduction of chromium(VI) by DMSO depends on the acidity as well as on the identity of the mineral acid (H_2SO_4) or $HClO_4$). The reaction may be explained by considering the electronwithdrawing ability of CIO_3 and SO_3H which decrease the ease of protonation of the chromate ester but increase the tendency of chromium(VI) to accept electrons from the reducing agent. The oxidising ability of the ester A decreases in the order $HO-CrO₂OSO₃H > HO-CrO₂OCIO₃$ for a given concentration.

Received, 26th November 1997; Accepted, 27th April 1998 Paper E/7/08532J

References

- 1 B. M. Trost, Chem. Rev., 1978, 78, 363.
- 2 P. D. Mangnus, Tetrahedron, 1977, 33, 2019.
- 3 H. H. Szmant, H. F. Harnserger and F. J. Krahe, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1954, 76, 2185.
- 4 P. Maruthamuthu and M. Santappa, Indian J. Chem., 1978, 16A, 43.
- 5 R. J. Kennedy and A. M. Stock, J. Org. Chem., 1960, 25, 1901.
- 6 B. M. Trost and D. P. Curran, Tetrahedron Lett., 1981, 22, 1287; A. McKillop and J. A. Tarkin, Tetrahedron Lett., 1983, 24, 1505.
- 7 A. Carrington and M. C. R. Symons, Chem. Rev., 1963, 63, 443.
- 8 M. Creslak-Golonka, Coord. Chem. Rev., 1991, 109, 223.
- 9 Z. Khan, A. A. Hashmi and Kabir-ud-Din, Transition Met. Chem., 1988, 23, 147.
- 10 Z. Khan, A. A. Hashmi, L. Ahmad and M. M. Haq, Int. J. Chem. Kinet., 1998, 30, 335.
- 11 H. C. Mishra and M. C. R. Symons, J. Chem. Soc., 1962, 4411.
- 12 F. Holloway, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1952, 74, 224.
- 13 D. G. Lee and R. Stewart, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1964, 86, 3051.
- 14 F. H. Westheimer, Chem. Rev., 1949, 45, 419; W. Watanabe and F. H. Westheimer, J. Chem. Phys., 1949, 17, 61.
- 15 J. F. Perez-Benito, C. Arias and D. Lamrhari, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1992, 472.